

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
OF ALABAMA

Courtney Boyd
plaintiff

vs.

Dr. Darboize et al defendant

RECEIVED Case No: CV-2006-511-ZWKW

2007 JUN 14 A 9:50

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CLERK'S OFFICE

MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL & SELECTION
OF JURORS

Comes Now, The plaintiff, Courtney Boyd, moves into Honorable Court pursuant to Fed.R.C.V. Proc Rule 47, 8 for an Appointment of Counsel. The Plaintiff Submits the following in support:

1. The Plaintiff has been granted an Jury trial by the Honorable Court on May 17, 2007. The Plaintiff requests an Examination of the Jurors, that may act on his trial in this case.
2. Rule 47(a) The Court may permit the parties or their attorneys to conduct the examination of prospective jurors. The Plaintiff request this examination before the setting of trial.
3. The Plaintiff is in lock up and do not know much about the law and how to prepare for an trial so he request that one be appoint to help him.

4. The plaintiff is in lock up, most of his legal work is in his property, and he is not able to get it. He submitted an request from to the lock up Sgt., who denied him getting anymore of his work, because he said that he should have gotten it the first time. See Exhibit A, which is a copy of the request;

5. The Court is not bound by law to appoint an Counsel in a Civil Case, unless to issue became to complex for the plaintiff to do. The purpose of all pre-trial motions and the trial, is to much for the plaintiff, who is a Star Lawyer.

Therefore, The plaintiff pray that this Honorable Court will grant this motion, and give the plaintiff an Counsel, and allow him to examine the prospective jurors,

Certificate of Service

I hereby ^{Certified} ~~certify~~ that I have served a copy of this upon the Defendants Counsel, by placing it in the Escherling Corr. Fac. Ma. # Dd on 6-11-07.



Page 2